

Express – PRA for Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus – Occurrence –Prepared by: Julius Kühn-Institute, Institute for Plant Health; on: 21-09-2017 by: Dr. Silke Steinmöller,

Dr. Heiko Ziebell (translated by Elke Vogt-Arndt)

Initiation: Occurrence on Solanum lycopersicum in Hesse

Express-Risk Analysis (PRA)	Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus		
Phytosanitary risk for Germany	high 🗌	medium 🗌	low 🗵
Phytosanitary risk for EU-MS	high 🗌	medium 🗌	low 🖂
Certainty of assessment	high 🗌	medium 🗌	low 🖂
Conclusion	For the first time Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus was detected in Austria in 2016 and short time later it was found in Hesse. Apart from that it was not found in Germany and the EU. Up to now it is neither listed in the Annexes of the Directive 2000/29/EC nor by the EPPO. Up to now Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus could only be detected on <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> and <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> . Based on a subsequent detection on a tomato sample from the year 2003 it can be assumed that Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus <i>a</i> lready occurs in Germany for quite some time, f. e. in protected tomato cultivation. An establishment in other Member States seems possible. Up to now damage caused by the virus is hardly known; thus according to present knowledge Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus presumably does not bear any phytosanitary risk for Germany and other EU-Member States. Due to a lack of information a concrete assessment of the risk is not yet possible. Particularly with regard to the possible vectors of the virus no information is available at the moment. Thus Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus actually is not classified as a quarantine pest and § 4a of the plant inspection order does not apply. Nevertheless it is recommended to destroy infested plant material as a precautionary measure to hinder a further spread of the virus.		
Taxonomy	Rhabdoviridae; nucleorhabdovirus		
Trivial name	-		
Synonyms	-		
Does a relevant earlier PRA exist?	No		
Biology	Globular virus genome	particles with a membra	ne and negative ssRNA-
Is the pest a vector?	Unknown		
Is a vector needed?	transmitted v	wever, many plant infesti ia vectors. Normally these cada (Jackson et al. 2005	e are aphids, grass

Express-Risk Analysis (PRA)	Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus	
Host plants	Up to now the occurrence was only described on <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> and <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> (Menzel et.al 2016, Gaafar et al. 2016). In tests the mechanical transmission to <i>Nicotiana benthamiana</i> , <i>N. clevelandii</i> and <i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> was possible.	
Symptoms	Chlorosis, leaf mottle and leaf deformations on <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> , marbling and colouring of tomato fruits were described as symptoms.	
Presence of the host plants- in Germany	In total, the genus <i>Physostegia</i> comprises 12 shrubby species. However, <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> is the single species that is cultivated as a garden shrub and thus is widespread (The Royal Horticultural Society 2015). Tomatoes belong to the crop plants. In 2016 they were cultivated commercially in Germany on app. 340 ha under glass. In addition, there are tomatoes as outdoor crop in private gardens (AMI Markt Bilanz Gemüse 2017).	
Presence of the host plants in the MS	No specific information on the use of <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> is available. It can be assumed that <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> mainly is present in gardens as it is not endemic in Europe. In 2016, tomatoes were cultivated in the EU on an area of app. 260,000 ha. The main production countries are Italy, Spain, Portugal and Greece (AMI Markt Bilanz Gemüse 2017).	
Known infested areas	The first occurrence on <i>Physostegia virginiana</i> was described in Austria. The first occurrence on tomato in protected cultivation was described in Hesse in three different cultivation locations.	
Pathways	Unknown	
Natural spread	Unknown. In general, the transmission via seeds happens rarely in the case of rhabdoviruses. A mechanical transmission only was possible under special conditions and in some instances to specific plants (Jackson et al. 2005).	
Expected establishment and spread in Germany	Subsequently, the virus could be detected in a tomato sample from 2003. Thus it can be assumed that it is already present in Germany for some time.	
Expected establishment and spread in the MS	Unknown.	
Known damage in infested areas	Up to now only sporadic occurrence on single plants is known.	
Limitation of the endangered area in Germany	Possibly tomato cultivation under glass.	
Expected damage in endangered area in Germany	Due to lack of information an assessment is not possible. However, infested tomato fruits cannot be marketed so that economic damage might be caused	
Expected damage in endangered area in MS	See above.	
Control feasibility and measures	At the moment no further measure than the destruction of infested plants is known.	

Express-Risk Analysis (PRA)	Physostegia chlorotic mottle virus
Detection and diagnosis	Electron microscopic examinations (Menzel et al. 2016) and serological detection are feasible at Julius Kühn-Institute (verbal information Ziebell 2017).
Remarks	At the moment hardly any information is available on the virus since the first description was in 2016.
Literature	AMI Markt Bilanz Gemüse 2017. Daten Fakten Entwicklungen Germany EU Welt. Hrsg. Agrarmarkt Informations-
	Gesellschaft mbH, Dreizehnmorgenweg 10, 53175 Bonn. ISSN 1869-8905. 200 pages
	Gaafar, Y.Z.A., Abdelgalil, M.A.M., Knierim, D., Richert-Pöggeler, K., Menzel, W., Winter, S., Ziebell, H. First report of physostegia chlorotic mottle virus on tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>) in Germany. Plant Disease. Published online on 10 August 2017 http://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/abs/10.1094/PDIS-05-17-0737-PDN
	Jackson, A.O., Dietzgen, R.G., Goodin, M.M., Bragg, J.N., Min Deng 2005. Biology of Plant Rhabdoviruses. Annual Review of Phytopathology 43, 623 – 660.
	Menzel, W., Knierim, D., Richert-Pöggeler, K., Winter, S. 2016. Charakterisierung eines Nucleorhabdovirus aus Physostegia. Tagungsband Deutsche Pflanzenschutztagung, 20. – 23.09.2016 in Halle. Julius-Kühn-Archiv 454, 283 – 284
	The Royal Horticultural Society 2015. Stauden – Die große Enzyklopädie. Hrsg. Graham Rice, Dorling Kindersley Verlag GmbH München, 2015.