## Institut für nationale und internationale Angelegenheiten der Pflanzengesundheit

Institute for National and International Plant Health

JKI, Messeweg 11/12, 38104 Braunschweig, Germany



<u>www.julius-kuehn.de</u>

15-07-2019

## **General information** 1 1.1 Title Finding of *Ips duplicatus* in Germany (Thüringen) Ips duplicatus was found in the national monitoring. The 1.2 Executive summary pest is listed in Annex II/B of the Directive 2000/29/EC. The pest was caught in traps that were placed in a sawmill at the wood storage area. The decision on phytosanitary measures is pending. Information concerning the single authority and responsible persons. 2 2.1 Notification from Julius Kühn-Institut (JKI), Institute for National and International Plant Health, Germany 2.2 Official contact: Katrin Kaminski, Tel: +49(0)531 299 3378, outbreaks@julius-kuehn.de Location 3 3.1 Location In Thuringia Reason of the notification and the pest status 4 Confirmed appearance of the harmful organism in part of 4.1 First finding in Germany or in the the territory of Germany, in which its presence was previously unknown area

## Notification of the presence of a harmful organism

organism has been found present, after the official confirmation.area concerned4.3 Pest status in Germany before the official confirmation of the presence, or suspected presence, of the harmful organism.Present, restricted distribution4.4 Pest status in Germany after the official confirmation of the presence of the harmful organism.Present, restricted distribution5 Finding, sampling, testing and confirmation of the harmful organism.Pest related official survey: The survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps wer				
confirmation of the presence, or suspected presence, of the harmful organism.Present, restricted distribution4.4 Pest status in Germany after the official confirmation of the presence of the harmful organism.Present, restricted distribution5 Finding, sampling, testing and confirmation of the harmful organism.Pest related official survey: The survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps wer used to monitor the presence of the pest.5.2 Date of finding:05-07-20195.3 Sampling for laboratory analysis.29-05-20195.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.16.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.16.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	4.2	organism has been found present, after	Present, only in specific parts of the area concerned	
confirmation of the presence of the harmful organism.5Finding, sampling, testing and confirmation of the harmful organism.5.1 How the presence or appearance of the harmful organism was found.Pest related official survey: The survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps wer used to monitor the presence of th pest.5.2 Date of finding:05-07-20195.3 Sampling for laboratory analysis.29-05-20195.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha	4.3	confirmation of the presence, or suspected presence, of the harmful	Present, restricted distribution	
5.1 How the presence or appearance of the harmful organism was found.Pest related official survey: The survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps wer used to monitor the presence of th pest.5.2 Date of finding:05-07-20195.3 Sampling for laboratory analysis.29-05-20195.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	4.4	confirmation of the presence of the	Present, restricted distribution	
harmful organism was found.survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps wer used to monitor the presence of th pest.5.2 Date of finding:05-07-20195.3 Sampling for laboratory analysis.29-05-20195.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	5	Finding, sampling, testing and confirma	ation of the harmful organism.	
5.3 Sampling for laboratory analysis.29-05-20195.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	5.1		survey was conducted near risk locations like sawmills. Traps were used to monitor the presence of the	
5.4 Diagnostic methodMorphological and molecular identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	5.2	Date of finding:	05-07-2019	
identification5.5 Date of official confirmation of the harmful organism's identity.05-07-20196 Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	5.3	Sampling for laboratory analysis.	29-05-2019	
harmful organism's identity.6Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area.1 ha6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	5.4	Diagnostic method		
6.1 Size and delimitation of the infested area. 1 ha   6.2 Characteristics of the infested area and Open air – wood storage of a	5.5		05-07-2019	
area.6.2 Characteristics of the infested area andOpen air – wood storage of a	6	Infested area, and the severity and source of the outbreak in that area.		
	6.1		1 ha	
	6.2			
6.3 Host plants in the infested area and its vicinity <i>Picea abies</i> (wood)	6.3	-	Picea abies (wood)	
6.4 Infested plant(s), plant product(s) and traps other object(s).	6.4		traps	
6.5 Source of the outbreak unknown	6.5	Source of the outbreak	unknown	

7 Official phytosanitary measures.	
7.1 Adoption of official phytosanitary measures.	Decision on whether official phytosanitary measures will be taken is pending. The monitoring in Germany is ongoing. A decision will be taken when more information about the distribution of the bark beetle is available,
7.2 Specific surveys.	no
8 Pest risk analysis/assessment	Pest risk analysis is not required (harmful organism is listed in Annex II/B of Directive 2000/29/EC)